

PCCSC Executive Meeting Minutes

Friday 5/12/23 at 12pm PST*, 9am Hawaii

[Meeting recording](#)

Members In Attendance:

Undergraduate Officers

1. Undergrad President - Anna Morrow, WWU
2. TIDE - Mercy Tangredi, UHM
3. Secretary - Maya Outhred, UW
4. Public Relations - Sadie Hoberman, ASU

Graduate Officers

1. Conference Commissioner - Bradford Schaupeter
2. Graduate VP - Claire Hunt
3. Treasurer - Stephen Long

Meeting called to order at 12:03pm PST.

Proposed penalties for no-shows or no posted NOR:

- **Stephen:** There are currently no penalties outlined in the scoring appendix, which is where they should be according to the standing rules. Rules 3 and 4 are being proposed (bolded), 1 & 2 already exist.

1. Teams that no-show a Conference Major event or that fail to withdraw from a major event prior to the deadline will not be able to travel to out-of-conference regatta for the calendar year following the no-show.

2. Teams that no-show a Conference Major event will receive a 2-point penalty added to their scheduling ranking associated with the type of event no-showed.

3. **Teams that no-show a Conference Major event will be charged \$200 due to the PCCSC and shall pay any financial obligations to the host school (including entry fees and charter fees).**

4. **Teams that no-show a Conference Minor event shall pay any financial obligations to the host school (including entry fees and charter fees).**

- **Stephen:** Asks if we should remove rules 1 & 2.
- **Brad:** Stanford wouldn't care about only rules 3 & 4 - we need a significant penalty for schools like Stanford and Cal Maritime.
- **Stephen:** If no-shows with only 3 & 4 ends up being a huge problem, this executive board still has the power to punish those teams.

- “Teams failing to fulfill obligations are subject to penalties under the Interconference Selection Guidelines, as well as subject to disciplinary action by the PCCSC Executive Committee.”
- **Brad:** It’s nice to have it in the rules so it’s black-and-white and no one can feel like the decision was unfair. We can still have the right to waive the penalty if we think there’s sufficient reason - we can always reduce it.
- **Anna:** Proposes that 3 & 4 only apply on the first offense, and 1-4 apply on subsequent offenses.
- **Brad:** Might make the bookkeeping difficult.
- **Stephen:** Notes that 1 is a huge penalty for Stanford and asks if it includes Nationals.
 - **Brad** says no.
- **Brad** proposes being unable to go to the event on the same weekend as the no-show. Suggests adding a disclaimer that these penalties are all at the discretion of the PCCSC committee.
- **Anna:** Suggests making the penalties specific to the category of school (regional, fundamental, etc).
 - **Stephen:** Notes that it would again be a lot of bookkeeping.
- **Brad:** Concerned about being too forceful, but would be okay if we add the disclaimer that these penalties are at the direction of the PCCSC committee. Let’s try to evaluate the no-show penalties for UCSD, USC, and UCCI against these rules and see what we decide to test them out.
 - **Stephen:** It might help if instead of adding a disclaimer, we just mention that they can appeal when we notify them they’re penalized.

UCSD: No-showed McIntyre Team Race - couldn’t get two club officers to go to both events, but had eight teams at Mustang Open the same weekend. Wasn’t able to get enough sailors to go and therefore wasn’t able to get enough officers to go. UCSD also said they were discouraged by their results at Mustang Team Race.

- **Stephen:** Hypothetically, I’d penalize under rule 4.
- **Sadie:** Also penalize under rule 4. Concerned that 1 reduces PCCSC presence out-of-conference.
- **Anna:** Because it’s a major event, I’d go with rule 3.
- **Claire:** Rule 3. It seems like they didn’t really want to go, but it doesn’t seem like they blew it off. Don’t want to force teams to go to events they won’t enjoy.
- **Brad:** Suggests penalizing with 1, but yields to the committee’s decision. UCSD sent at least 24 sailors to Mustang and would have only needed 6 to go to McIntyre. Since 3 and 4 don’t exist, what should be administered?
 - **Claire:** Should be equal with the rules applied to Cal Maritime.
 - **Stephen:** Should ask Stanford if this is a financial hardship.

- **Brad:** Stanford doesn't need financial compensation, but it is a professional annoyance & UCSD did need to write an apology letter to Chris.

Brad: Motion to penalize under rule 2 only & to write the apology email to Chris out of professional respect.

All votes in favor. Motion passed.

USC: No-showed Stoney Burke and gave notice three days out. Realized that the penalty didn't apply to them since they didn't travel out of conference.

- **Anna:** Apply 3 if it existed. Suggests rule 1, even if they don't travel out of conference.
- **Brad:** Notes that he pushed for Cal Maritime to be penalized because it was a conference championship.
- **Anna:** The conference can potentially lose berths, too. Could add a division in the rules for championship events to emphasize that we need to have teams compete.
- **Brad:** Similar to the last minute drop from Women's TR by Cal - next year, that would throw our berth into question. Also notes that Top 9 should be added to the conference major events.
- **Mercy:** Could categorize events based on the conference grade?
 - **Anna:** Suggests that any event that's a G3 or G2 is a major event.
 - **Brad:** Grades can change independently, so we don't want to make this more complicated.
- **Stephen:** We only need a championship category if we want to add a harsher penalty. Also asks if there was any financial burden on Cal.
 - **Brad:** It's too far out to litigate money for Stoney Burke - we wouldn't be able to enforce anything.
- **Anna:** When we're reviewing the spring schedule, we can also review the conference championship classifications & some overlaps.
- **Brad:** McIntyre and Mustang overlap was unfortunate because many schools wanted to attend both. Top 9 and Bryson Women's worked out really well and Stanford just agreed to host a joint Top 9 and Fall Women's PCCs this fall.
- **Brad:** Let's agree to vote for 2 for USC.

UC Channel Islands: Their insurance lapsed for a very complicated reason and it took months for it to get it to work. They would sign up and withdraw late since they weren't told by their school they didn't have insurance until after the drop deadline.

- **Claire:** That seems reasonable. If we look at other conferences, they have lists of existing reasons to be excused and allow the exec committee to hold discretion
- **Brad:** Their penalty was to stop signing up for things & ask for a late add once they're cleared to sail.
- **Anna:** That penalty sounds reasonable - they're a small team.

- **Stephen:** Motion to add a resolution in the standing rules - change 14 to 21 in the wording of rule 26.2.2.
 - **Anna** seconds. No discussion. All vote in favor.
- **Brad:** Motion to add verbiage for rules 3 and 4 (bolded above).
 - **Stephen** seconds. No discussion. All vote in favor. Motion passed.

Brad: Assigns **Claire** to look at scheduling rules in other conferences. All agree that a vacated berth as an excuse is a good addendum.

Brad will work on the 2024 spring and fall schedules and will send them out soon. Notes that warding the grant was easy, getting the sails to the team is difficult. Once we know what funds we have access to for sure, things will go more smoothly.